## § 3 Structure of bimodules

Since the semisimple Artinian alternative algebras are just the associative and Cayley algebras, and we have separately classified the bimodules for each of these, we can put our results together to describe the bimodules for an arbitrary separable alternative algebra A over a field  $\phi$ .

There is one slight catch. The Cayley summands of A are Cayley algebras C over their centers  $\Omega$ , which are separable extensions of  $\Phi$ . In II.7.1 we classified only  $\Omega$ -bimodules for C/ $\Omega$ ; a priori there might be some new  $\Phi$ -bimodules for C which are not  $\Omega$ -bimodules. To show this cannot happen we show that any  $\Phi$ -bimodule carries a natural  $\Omega$ -bimodule structure.

3.1 (Lemma) Let A be a unital alternative algebra with center Ω over a field Φ. Then all A-bimodules M in the category of Φ-algebras carry a natural left Ω-structure ω·m = ωm making them A-bimodules in the category of Ω-algebras, as soon as A (or some central extension A Ø Σ) is a direct sum of 2-interconnected algebras.

Proof. The scalar product  $\omega \cdot m$  will make M a unital left  $\Omega$ -module as soon as  $(\omega_1\omega_2) \cdot m = \omega_1 \cdot (\omega_2 \cdot m)$ , ie  $[\Omega,\Omega,M] = 0$ . The bimodule action of A on M will be  $\Omega$ -bilinear,  $\omega$ (am) =  $(\omega a)m = a(\omega m)$  and  $(ma)\omega = m(a\omega) = (m\omega)a$ , if  $[\Omega,A,M] = 0$ . (Then  $[\omega,a,m] = 0$  implies  $\omega$ (am) =  $(\omega a)m$ ,  $\Omega$  = C(A) implies

 $(\omega a)m = (a\omega)m$ , and  $[a,\omega,m] = p[\omega,a,m] = 0$  implies  $(a\omega)m = a(\omega m)$ . Dually on the right). Since  $\Omega \subset A$  we are reduced to showing  $[\Omega,\Lambda,M] = 0$ .

It suffices if  $[\Omega,A,M]_{\Sigma}=[\Omega_{\Sigma},A_{\Sigma},M_{\Sigma}]$  vanishes in the bimodule  $M_{\Sigma}=M\otimes_{\bar{\Phi}}\Sigma$  for  $A_{\Sigma}=A\otimes_{\bar{\Phi}}\Sigma$ . Replacing  $A/\Phi$  by the direct sum of interconnected algebras  $A_{\Sigma}/\Sigma$ , and noting  $\Omega_{\Sigma}\subset C(A_{\Sigma})$ , it suffices to assume from the start that A is a direct sum of 2-interconnected algebras.

Let  $I = \Sigma_{i=1}^n = i$  where each  $e_i$  is interconnected with at least one other  $e_i$ . Since any  $\omega \in \Omega = C(A)$  commutes with the  $e_i$  we have  $\omega = \Sigma$   $w_{ii}$  for  $w_{ii} \in A_{ii}$ . Thus the only unrully associators involving  $\Omega$  are

Now  $[\Omega, A_{ii}, M_{ii}]$ ,  $[\Omega, A_{ij}, M_{ij}]$   $(0 \le i \ne j \le n)$ . Now  $[\Omega, A_{ii}, M_{ii}] = [\Omega_{ii}, A_{ii}, M_{ii}] = 0$  since  $A_{oo} = 0$  and if  $1 \le i \le n$  by interconnectivity there exists  $j \ne i$  with  $A_{ii} = A_{ij}A_{ji} \subset E_{ij}E_{ji} \subset N(E_{ii})$  by (3.12) for  $E = A \oplus M$  the split null extension. To see any  $[\omega, a_{ij}, m_{ij}]$  vanishes, compute  $(\omega a_{ij})^m_{ij} = (a_{ij} \omega)^m_{ij}$   $(\omega \in C(A)) = (a_{ij} \omega)^m_{ij}$   $(\omega \in C(A)) = (a_{ij} \omega)^m_{ij}$   $(\omega \in C(A)) = (a_{ij} \omega)^m_{ij}$  which is  $(a_{ij} \omega)^m_{ij} = (a_{ij} \omega)^m_{ij}$ . Thus all massless  $(a_{ij} \omega)^m_{ij} = (a_{ij} \omega)^m_{ij}$  by Slipping Formula 3.8.

Thus all unruly associators vanish,  $[\Omega$ , A, M] = 0 , and M carries a natural  $\Omega$ -bimodule structure.

We determine the structure of bimodules for a separable A by decomposing them into bimodules for the simple summands  $A_i$ . In general, we have the following decomposition for a direct sum  $A= \bigoplus A_i$ .

3.2 (Peirce Decomposition of Bimodules) Let  $A = A_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes A_n$  be a direct sum of alternative algebras  $A_1$  with units  $e_1$ . Then any alternative bimodule M for A has Peirce decomposition

 $M = \emptyset$  n  $\hat{i}, j=0$  Mij

relative to the  $e_i$  , where for i,j,0  $\neq$ 

 $M_{\rm oo}$  is a trivial bimodule  $M_{\rm ii}$  is a unital  $A_{\rm i}$  - bimodule  $M_{\rm io}$ ,  $M_{\rm oi}$  are unital left, right  $A_{\rm i}$  - modules  $M_{\rm ij}$  is a unital left  $A_{\rm i}$ , right  $A_{\rm j}$  module where the actions of  $A_{\rm i}$  and  $A_{\rm j}$  commute.

Proof. Since the Peirce decomposition of A is A = y,  $A_{ii}$  for  $A_{ii} = A_i$ , it is clear that  $M_{oo}$  is trivial,  $M_{io}$  is a unital left  $A_i$  - module (killed by all other  $A_j$ ),  $M_{oi}$  is a unital right  $A_i$  - module, and  $M_{ii}$  a unital  $A_i$  - bimodule (killed by all other  $A_j$ ). Since the Peirce specialization  $x + L_x$  of  $A_{ii}$  on  $M_{ij}$  (i\neq j) and the Peirce antispecialization  $y + R_y$  of  $A_{ji}$  on  $M_{ij}$  commute,  $(x_{ii}^m_{ij})y_{jj} = x_{ii}^m_{ij}y_{jj}$ ,  $M_{ij}$  is a unital left  $A_i$  and right  $A_j$  module where the module actions commute.

Note that if  $A_i$ ,  $A_j$  are associative  $M_{ij}$  is simply a unital left module for  $A_i \otimes A_j^{\text{ op}}$  via  $x \otimes y + \ell_x r_y$ .

Putting this together with our knowledge of bimodules for the basic building — blocks  ${\tt A}_{\dot{1}}$  leads to

- 3.3 (Bimodule Theorem) If A is a separable alternative algebra over a field  $\phi$  then all A-bimodules are completely reducible. If  $A = A_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxminus A_n$  the irreducible A-bimodules are of the following types:
  - (i) a trivial bimodule M = pm
  - (ii) an irreducible left or right  $A_{\hat{i}}$  module if  $A_{\hat{i}}$  is associative
  - (iii) an irreducible unital left  $A_i \otimes A_j$  module if  $A_i$ ,  $A_j$  are associative (i $\neq$ j)
    - (iv) a regular bimodule  $M = reg(A_i)$  if  $A_i$  is associative
  - (vi) a regular bimodule M = reg(A<sub>i</sub>) if A<sub>i</sub>
    is Cayley.

Proof. Since a trivial bimodule is just a vector space, it is a direct sum of irreducible 1-dimensional bimodules M = 0m as in (i).

Now consider left or right  $\Lambda_i$  - modules  $M_{io}$  or  $M_{oi}$ . Since Cayley algebras admit no nonzero specializations (have no nonzero modules), such exist only when  $\Lambda_i$  is associative. Since  $\Lambda_i$  is semisimple artinian, from associative theory all its modules are completely reducible. This gives type (ii).

Consider next M<sub>ij</sub> for  $i\neq j$ . Since again the Cayley algebras admit no specializations, M<sub>ij</sub>  $\neq$  0 only when A<sub>i</sub> and A<sub>j</sub> are associative. In this case it is just a unital left A<sub>i</sub>  $\otimes$  A<sub>j</sub> op module. Since A<sub>i</sub> and A<sub>j</sub> are separable over  $\phi$ , A<sub>i</sub>  $\otimes_{\phi}$  A<sub>j</sub> op remains semisimple and all its modules are completely reducible. This gives (iii).

Finally we turn to the  $M_{ii}$ . When  $A_i$  is associative (a form of a matrix algebra  $M_k(\Omega)$ ) its unital bimodules are associative if  $k\neq 2$  (trivially if k=1,  $A_i=\emptyset$ , and by Bimodule Associativity VII.5.9 since  $A_i$  is strongly associative if  $k\geq 3$  by VII.5.14). The associative bimodules for an associative  $A_i$  are again just  $A_i$  op modules; since  $A_i$  is separable over  $\emptyset$  these are completely reducible. We know from associative theory that the irreducible associative  $A_i$  - bimodules are  $\emptyset$ -isomorphic to  $\text{reg}(A_i)$ . This gives (iv).

If  $A_i$  is quaternion (over its center  $\Omega$ ) its bimodules need not be associative. However, by 3.1 its  $\phi$ -bimodules are automatically  $\Omega$ -bimodules, so by the Bimodule Theorem II.7.1 all its bimodules are completely reducible with irreducible bimodules either reg( $A_i$ ) or irreducible constituents of cay( $A_i$ ), as in (v).

If  $A_i$  is Cayley over its center  $\Omega$  its bimodules are  $\Omega$ -bimodules by 3.1 and so once more by the Bimodule Theorem II.7.1 are direct sums of regular bimodules and therefore completely reducible.